# The Clinical Trial Protocol Guide

2011

**BioStrategics Consulting Ltd.** 



#### THE CLINICAL TRIAL PROTOCOL

The clinical trial protocol to test one's product, to confirm or reject a specific hypothesis, is key in the clinical development plan and in the overall development of the product with the goal of moving the science forward and preparing a product for market acceptance.

The clinical trial protocol is the experimental blueprint for a clinical study, and every activity and procedure it specifies should contribute to the efficient testing of the central hypothesis being examined.

#### A DETAILED GUIDE TO THE CLINICAL TRIAL PROTOCOL

KEY PROTOCOL	PROTOCOL GUIDANCE
ELEMENTS	11101010101
1. Key Roles	Define institutions
	Sponsor
	Principal investigator
	Investigators
	Key responsible individuals
2. Product rationale;	Name of drug
potential risks and	Summary of preclinical data
benefits	Summary of clinical data
	Relevant background literature
	Potential risks and benefits
	Importance of the study
3. Study objectives	Definition of the goals of the study. Should define the
	gathering of data that are absolutely required building
	blocks of the total registration dossier of the new drug.
	Several major categories of clinical trial objectives exist:
	• Dose-dependent objectives:
	Safety and tolerability
	<ul> <li>Biological effect, pharmacodynamics, surrogate end points</li> </ul>
	Absolute efficacy (i.e., versus no treatment or placebo
	control)
	Relative efficacy (i.e., versus an established
	comparative agent)
	Pharmacokinetic behavior
	o Pharmacoeconomic outcomes
	o Effects in special populations (e.g., pediatric or renal
	impaired patients)

safety outcomes are assessed after single and multiple dose administrations.  Phase 2: These studies are usually exploratory studies in each candidate indication to determine which are most suitable for further development. Phase 2 studies often explore the most appropriate dose of drug to use too, looking for the best efficacy without causing undue safety risks.  Phase 3: The FDA and the market place requires the demonstration of clinical relevance and benefit. Typically, the end point should reduce mortality, extend longevity or some other clinical benefit and would require the study to follow patients for a longer period of time.  Key commercial questions to consider:  1. What is it your drug really does? How do you define it? What is the benefit it offers over existing treatments? For example, do you know or suspect you have an advantage over the standard of care treatment? If so, how do you articulate it? More critically, how to you define it in a way that translates into a clinical trial end point?  2. Exactly what is the market your drug addresses? Which patients does your drug help most? How can this segment be optimally defined for the purposes of clinical trial conduct and, ultimately, the product label?  3. What information would a doctor want to see to convince them to change their prescribing habits? For example, would a comparison study with the standard of care treatment have great influence, even if not necessary for regulatory approval? If so, what is the magnitude of advantage that must be shown to make your drug a relevant competitor?		. N. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
Primary and secondary clinical end points  Define primary and secondary clinical trial end points. Phase 1: The end points for first in human studies are usually safety and are conducted in human volunteers. The safety outcomes are assessed after single and multiple dost administrations.  Phase 2: These studies are usually exploratory studies in each candidate indication to determine which are most suitable for further development. Phase 2 studies often explore the most appropriate dose of drug to use too, looking for the best efficacy without causing undue safety risks.  Phase 3: The FDA and the market place requires the demonstration of clinical relevance and benefit. Typically, the end point should reduce mortality, extend longevity or some other clinical benefit and would require the study to follow patients for a longer period of time. Key commercial questions to consider:  1. What is it your drug really does? How do you define it? What is the benefit it offers over existing treatments? For example, do you know or suspect you have an advantage over the standard of care treatment? If so, how do you articulate it? More critically, how to you define it in a way that translates into a clinical trial end point?  2. Exactly what is the market your drug addresses? Which patients does your drug help most? How can this segment be optimally defined for the purposes of clinical trial conduct and, ultimately, the product label?  3. What information would a doctor want to see to convince them to change their prescribing habits? For example, would a comparison study with the standard of care treatment have great influence, even if not necessary for regulatory approval? If so, what is the magnitude of advantage that must be shown to make your drug a relevant competitor?		
4. Primary and secondary clinical end points  Define primary and secondary clinical trial end points. Phase 1: The end points for first in human studies are usually safety and are conducted in human volunteers. The safety outcomes are assessed after single and multiple dose administrations.  Phase 2: These studies are usually exploratory studies in each candidate indication to determine which are most suitable for further development. Phase 2 studies often explore the most appropriate dose of drug to use too, looking for the best efficacy without causing undue safety risks.  Phase 3: The FDA and the market place requires the demonstration of clinical relevance and benefit. Typically, the end point should reduce mortality, extend longevity or some other clinical benefit and would require the study to follow patients for a longer period of time.  Key commercial questions to consider:  1. What is it your drug really does? How do you define it? What is the benefit it offers over existing treatments? For example, do you know or suspect you have an advantage over the standard of care treatment? If so, how do you articulate it? More critically, how to you define it in a way that translates into a clinical trial end point?  2. Exactly what is the market your drug addresses? Which patients does your drug help most? How can this segment be optimally defined for the purposes of clinical trial conduct and, ultimately, the product label?  3. What information would a doctor want to see to convince them to change their prescribing habits? For example, would a comparison study with the standard of care treatment have great influence, even if not necessary for regulatory approval? If so, what is the magnitude of advantage that must be shown to make your drug a relevant competitor?		· ·
Phase 1: The end points for first in human studies are usually safety and are conducted in human volunteers. The safety outcomes are assessed after single and multiple dost administrations.  Phase 2: These studies are usually exploratory studies in each candidate indication to determine which are most suitable for further development. Phase 2 studies often explore the most appropriate dose of drug to use too, looking for the best efficacy without causing undue safety risks.  Phase 3: The FDA and the market place requires the demonstration of clinical relevance and benefit. Typically, the end point should reduce mortality, extend longevity or some other clinical benefit and would require the study to follow patients for a longer period of time.  Key commercial questions to consider:  1. What is it your drug really does? How do you define it? What is the benefit it offers over existing treatments? For example, do you know or suspect you have an advantage over the standard of care treatment? If so, how do you articulate it? More critically, how to you define it in a way that translates into a clinical trial end point?  2. Exactly what is the market your drug addresses? Which patients does your drug help most? How can this segment be optimally defined for the purposes of clinical trial conduct and, ultimately, the product label?  3. What information would a doctor want to see to convince them to change their prescribing habits? For example, would a comparison study with the standard of care treatment have great influence, even if not necessary for regulatory approval? If so, what is the magnitude of advantage that must be shown to make your drug a relevant competitor?	4 D:	
* *	5	<ul> <li>○ Natural history of disease</li> <li>○ Pilot data to guide the design of subsequent trials</li> <li>Define primary and secondary clinical trial end points.</li> <li>Phase 1: The end points for first in human studies are usually safety and are conducted in human volunteers. The safety outcomes are assessed after single and multiple dose administrations.</li> <li>Phase 2: These studies are usually exploratory studies in each candidate indication to determine which are most suitable for further development. Phase 2 studies often explore the most appropriate dose of drug to use too, looking for the best efficacy without causing undue safety risks.</li> <li>Phase 3: The FDA and the market place requires the demonstration of clinical relevance and benefit. Typically, the end point should reduce mortality, extend longevity or some other clinical benefit and would require the study to follow patients for a longer period of time.</li> <li>Key commercial questions to consider:</li> <li>What is it your drug really does? How do you define it? What is the benefit it offers over existing treatments? For example, do you know or suspect you have an advantage over the standard of care treatment? If so, how do you articulate it? More critically, how to you define it in a way that translates into a clinical trial end point?</li> <li>Exactly what is the market your drug addresses? Which patients does your drug help most? How can this segment be optimally defined for the purposes of clinical trial conduct and, ultimately, the product label?</li> <li>What information would a doctor want to see to convince them to change their prescribing habits? For example, would a comparison study with the standard of care treatment have great influence, even if not necessary for regulatory approval? If so, what is the magnitude of advantage that must be shown to make your drug a relevant competitor?</li> <li>What product profile would convince a patient to "demand" this drug from their physic</li></ul>
communicated on a "lay" level?  5. What information would insurance carriers need to		communicated on a "lay" level?
convince them to include this drug in their formularies		convince them to include this drug in their formularies? Could it reduce overall healthcare costs? Can it replace

		more expensive therapy? Or show such greater benefit
	C. 1 1 .:	that it becomes the new standard of care?
5.	Study population;	Study population description
	inclusion and exclusion	Inclusion criteria
	criteria.	Exclusion criteria
		Strategies for Recruitment and Retention
6.	Study design	Description of Study Design
		<ul> <li>Type of design: placebo-controlled, double-blind,</li> </ul>
		open label, dose escalation, dose-ranging
		Phase of the trial
		<ul> <li>The number of study groups/arms</li> </ul>
		Single or multi-center
		<ul> <li>Healthy of sick population</li> </ul>
		• In-patient or out-patient
		<ul> <li>Description of study groups/arms including sample</li> </ul>
		size
		<ul> <li>Approximate time to complete study enrollment</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Expected duration of subject participation</li> </ul>
		Description of the sequence and duration of all trial
		periods, including follow up
		Name of study agents/interventions
		Changes in scheduling such as dose escalations
		• Stratifications
7.	Investigational product	Define the formulation, packaging and labeling
		<ul> <li>Product storage and stability</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Dose, preparation and administration of the</li> </ul>
		investigational product
		<ul> <li>Concomitant medications and procedures</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Precautionary and prohibited medications and</li> </ul>
		procedures
		<ul> <li>Prophylactic medications and procedures</li> </ul>
		Rescue medications
		<ul> <li>Accountability procedures; Assessment of subject</li> </ul>
		compliance; concomitant medications allowed
8.	Study schedule	Subject screening prior to enrollment
		Enrollment/baseline visit
		Follow up and follow up visits
		Final study visit
		Early termination visit
		Pregnancy visit
		Unscheduled visits
9.	Study Procedures and	Clinical evaluations
	Evaluations	Laboratory evaluations; specimen preparation; biohazard
		containment
		Substudies

10.00	
10. Safety assessments	<ul> <li>Specification of safety assessments</li> <li>Definition of an Adverse Events (AE); severity; relationship to study products</li> <li>Definition of a Serious Adverse Event (SAE)</li> <li>Method and timing of assessing, recording, analyzing and managing safety parameters</li> <li>Reporting procedures</li> <li>Reporting of pregnancy</li> <li>Type and duration of monitoring of subjects after adverse events</li> <li>Modification of study agent(s) and intervention(s) for a participant.</li> <li>Halting rules for the protocol</li> <li>Stopping rules for an individual participant or cohort</li> <li>Premature withdrawal of a participant</li> <li>Replacement of a participant who discontinues study treatment</li> <li>Expected adverse events</li> <li>Serious adverse events</li> <li>Unanticipated events</li> <li>Procedures of abnormal laboratory test values and abnormal clinical findings</li> <li>Halting rules</li> </ul>
11 Clinical manitoring	Safety oversight – Data Safety Monitoring Board.  Site manifering plan  Site manifering plan
11. Clinical monitoring plan	Site monitoring plan Safety monitoring plan
12. Statistical analysis	Overview and study objectives
	Study population
	Description of the analyses
	Measures to minimize bias
	Appropriate methods and timing for analyzing outcome measures
	Study hypothesis
	Sample size considerations
	Maintenance of trial treatment randomization codes
	Participant enrollment and follow up
	Planned interim analysis (if planned)
	Safety review
	Efficacy review
12.0	Final analysis plan
13. Quality control and	Define local quality assurance and quality control
assurance	processes, along with relevant SOPs
14. Ethics protection	Ethical principles being followed
	Institutional Review Board
	Informed consent process

	Exclusion of women, minorities and children (special populations) Subject confidentiality Study discontinuation Future use of stored specimens
15. Data handling and record keeping	Data management responsibilities Data capture methods Types of data Source documents and access to source data and documents Timing of reports Study records retention Protocol deviations
16. Financing and insurance	Ensure financing is available to complete the study and follow up of patients as defined in the protocol.  Ensure adequate insurance is obtained to cover the clinical study
17. Publication policy	Funding body may require interventional studies to be registered with Clinicaltrials.gov  If funding body requires it: state publication intent

#### **Sources:**

- http://www.fda.gov/ScienceResearch/SpecialTopics/RunningClinicalTrials/default.htm
- http://www.niaid.nih.gov/labsandresources/resources/toolkit/protocol/Pages/protocol.aspx
- http://www.nidcr.nih.gov/ClinicalTrials/ToolkitClinicalResearchers/ClinicalTrials ProtocolTemplate/InterventionProtocolTemplate.htm
- http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/assurances/
- http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/CFRSearch.cfm?CFRPart =50
- http://www.fda.gov/ICECI/EnforcementActions/default.htm
- http://prsinfo.clinicaltrials.gov/